

Active region emergence and its effect on the solar corona



Dana Longcope

Montana State University, Bozeman, MT

#### Thanks

- George Fisher (UCB)
- Alex Pevtsov (NSO)

Dec. 2, 2008 Bangalore, India

\* Presently IIA

- Isaac Klapper
- B. Ravindra\*

MSU

- Brian Welsch
  - § Presently UCB

## Active regions: where they come from









NIVERSITY

Babcock 1961



Dec. 2, 2008 Bangalore, India



# How do these emerging flux tubes affect the corona?



#### Outline



Dec. 2, 2008 Bangalore, India

- 1. Dynamics of emergence
- 2. Twist (helicity) in emerging tubes
- Transport of helicity into the corona by emerging tubes



- Isolated tube, pressure-confined, "thin"  $\clubsuit \rightarrow$  a <<  $H_p$
- Axis of tube: space curve **x**(s,t)
- Dynamical equations: Spruit 1981, Choudhuri & Gilman 1987



#### Model evolution of AR tubes

- Initialize tube at base of CZ
- Follow evolution of emerging tube thin FT eqns.
- Predict configuration of observed AR



Fan *et al.* 1994

Dec. 2, 2008 Bangalore, India



D'Silva & Choudhuri 1993

## A Rising Flux Tube



Deflection of rising tube by Coriolis effect  $\rightarrow$  tilted pair of spots



Dec. 2, 2008 Bangalore, India





#### Flux Tube Twist

Flux tubes must be twisted in order to rise (Parker 1979)

twisted





Abbett et al. 2000





untwisted



#### ... and AR fields are twisted



(courtesy T. Magara & Hinode)



ы

(from Nakagawa et al. 1971)

Evidence that flux tubes emerge already twisted: Flux ( $\Phi$ ) and current increase together (Leka *et al.* 1996)





Bangalore, India

#### How twisted are the tubes?





Dec. 2, 2008 Bangalore, India α<sub>best</sub> introduced by Pevtsov, Canfield & Metcalf (1995)

- calc  $B_{\perp}{}^{(\alpha)}$  by extrapolating B\_z w/ fixed value of  $\alpha$
- vary  $\alpha$  until  $\mathbf{B}_{\perp}^{(\alpha)}$  best matches observed  $\mathbf{B}_{\perp}$  i.e. minimize

$$\sum_{i,j} |\mathbf{B}_{\perp}(i,j) - \mathbf{B}_{\perp}^{(\alpha)}(i,j)|^2$$



-10 apon, 10° m' Linear trend removed (from Longcope, Fisher & Pevtsov 1998)

Dec. 2, 2008 Bangalore, India

20

Numba



Plasma **spins** about axis at rate

$$\omega(s,t)$$
 "="  $d\theta/dt$ 

 $v_{\theta} = \omega r$ 

#### Twist in flux tubes



Evolution of twist & spin

$$\frac{d\omega}{dt} = \mathbf{v}_{A}^{2} \frac{\partial q}{\partial s} - \frac{2}{a} \frac{da}{dt}$$
$$\frac{dq}{dt} = \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial s} - \left(\hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial s}\right) q + (\hat{\mathbf{s}} \times \mathbf{k}) \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial s}$$

Dec. 2, 2008 Bangalore, India

(Longcope & Klapper 1997)



**Axis of tube:** 

S T A T E UNIVERSITY



#### **Dynamics of twist**





# Writhe from Turbulence: The $\Sigma$ -effect (Longcope, Fisher & Pevtsov 1998)



Spectrum of kinetic helicity

NIVERSITY

$$\langle \mathbf{v}^{e} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{v}^{e} \rangle = -\frac{1}{3} \tau_{c} \int F(k) dk$$
  
 $\Sigma \approx (\hat{\mathbf{s}} \times \mathbf{k}) \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}^{e}}{\partial s}$  Twist source

Averaging over turbulence:

 $\langle \Sigma \rangle \approx -\frac{1}{5} \tau_c \int k^2 F(k) dk$ 

Variance of twist source:  $\langle \Sigma^2 \rangle \approx |\mathbf{k}|^2 \int k^2 E(k) dk \gg \langle \Sigma \rangle^2$ 

Dec. 2, 2008 Bangalore, India



#### $\Sigma$ -effect vs. $\alpha$ -effect



 $\left\langle \Sigma \right\rangle \approx -\frac{1}{5} \tau_c \int k^2 F(k) dk$ Spectrum of kinetic helicity Compare to  $\alpha$ -effect:  $\alpha \approx -\left\langle \mathbf{v}^e \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{v}^e \right\rangle = \frac{1}{3} \tau_c \int F(k) dk$ 

Dec. 2, 2008 Bangalore, India

## Cause of the observed twis

**Observed properties** 

 $\blacksquare$  Twist in CZ flux tube

- ✓ LH twist in North
- $\checkmark <\alpha > ~ 3 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^{-1}$
- $\checkmark$  25% violation of trend
- $\checkmark \Delta \alpha \sim 10^{-8} \text{ m}^{-1}$
- $\square$   $\Delta \alpha$  indep. of latitude

SITY Writhe from CZ turbulence: The 2-effect

- Kinetic helicity: • RH writhe in North
- $<\alpha> \sim 3 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^{-1}$
- Fluctuates (turbulence)
- Level indep. of latitude
- $\Delta \alpha \sim 10^{-8} \text{ m}^{-1}$

# Twist: Photosphere vs. Corona

## Force-free-field w/ constant- $\alpha$





Bangalore, India

## Coupling flux tube to corona





Dec. 2, 2008 Bangalore, India

#### Coupling flux tube to corona



#### Imbalanced torque (shunted current) -> spin



#### Flux tube twist -> sunspot rotation ->



Summarising these results, we have a mean rotational movement of 0.35 km. per sec., and a radial motion of about 2 km. per sec. Combining them, we get a spiral or catharine-wheel movement opposite in the two hemispheres. This is represented graphically in the appended diagram (fig. 2), which shows the directions of the stream-lines in a northern and in a southern spot.

**Evershed 1910** 

240

300

360



180

Angular spacing (degrees)



a

60

120



#### **Twist Creates Spin**



#### <u>movie</u>

#### (Courtesy D. Alexander)



Dec. 2, 2008 Bangalore, India



Dec. 2, 2008 Bangalore, India

### **Spin from Emergence**





- Twist propagates into corona
- Twist-rarefaction waves propagates inward to CZ
  Characteristic

time-scale for adjustment:

$$d/v_A \sim 1 day$$

Dec. 2, 2008 Bangalore, India

### **Spin from Emergence**



Observation: Pevtsov, Maleev & Longcope 2003

Fit Model to Data



Bangalore, India



#### **Spin from Emergence**

Observation: Pevtsov, Maleev & Longcope 2003



Dec. 2, 2008 Bangalore, India

#### **Measured Velocity**

## B<sub>z</sub> measured: LOS mg U measured: LCT of B<sub>z</sub> A<sub>0</sub> extrapolated /



# $\frac{dH_R}{dt} = -2\int_{z=0}^{z=0} \left(\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{A}_0\right) B_z \, ds$

#### = +3 × 10<sup>40</sup> Mx<sup>2</sup>/day $= \Phi^2 10^{-2}/day$





Dec. 2, 2008 Bangalore, India

(Chae 2001)









Q

20

40

1997-10-09

Bangalore, India

80

60





#### **Helicity Injection**



## Long term helicity injection



AR	Δt	$\Delta H/\Phi^2$	<dh dt=""></dh>	ref
	(hrs)		(10 <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	
8011	40	0.003	0.18	Chae (2001)
8100	120	0.02	0.4	Kusano et al. (2002)
8668	50	0.03	1.4	Chae et al. (2001)
9165	80	0.2	6.0	Nindos & Zhang (2002)
10365	120	0.05	1.0	Chae, Moon & Park (2004)
10696	132	0.02	0.4	Lim et al. (2007)

Dec. 2, 2008 (from van Driel-Gesztelyi, Demoulin & Mandrini, 2003) Bangalore, India



Helicity Flux in ARs  $\frac{dH}{dt} = \Omega \Phi^2 = \frac{\omega}{2\pi} \Phi^2$ 

Differential rotation:

- Th. (DeVore 2000):  $\Omega \sim 3 \times 10^{-3}/day$ 

- Obs. (Demoulin *et al* 2002) Ω ~ 3 X 10<sup>-4</sup>
- Proper motions: (observations)
  - LCT (van Driel-Gesztelyi et al. 2003)
  - Sunspot rotation (Brown et al 2003)

Ω ~ 10<sup>-2</sup> Ω ~ 10<sup>-1</sup>

### Summary



- ARs created by emergence of flux tubes
- Tubes consist of twisted flux -- twisted by turbulence during rising ( $\Sigma$ -effect)
- Helicity of twist propagates into corona
- Observed proper motions (rotating sunspots) consistent with twist propagation