One mask to group them all, One code to find them, One file to store them all, And in a structure bind them.

William (Tolkien) Simpson



## A Diachronic Topological Analysis of the 13th May 2005 Solar Flare

W.M.R. Simpson

Supervised by Angela Des Jardins

August 2009, MSU Bozeman





## Outline

#### I. Background Theory and Objectives

- 1. The nature of solar flares
- 2. Energy release in solar flares
- 3. The stress hypothesis
- 4. The MCT topology

#### **II.** Analysis of the flare

- 1. The light curve
- 2. X-ray contours
- 3. Topologies through time
- 4. The mask maker
- 5. Calculating the flux and stress

## **III. Results and Conclusions**



## Theory: 1. The nature of solar flares

#### Solar flare

- explosion in intense magnetic regions of Sun
- sudden, fast release of magnetic energy

#### X-ray brightness

- X-class (largest)
- M-class(1/10)
- C-class (1/100)

#### Some repercussions...

- high energy electrons and protons
- spacecraft interference
- coronal plasma ejections
- damage to power lines



## Theory: 2. Energy release in solar flares

- Energy for flare stored in the magnetic field
- Release mechanism: **reconnection**



#### Separator reconnection (3d)

- Non-thermal electrons accelerated
- Channelled down loop strike chromosphere
- Hard x-rays emitted at footpoints

Detectable by RHESSI satellite - deduce possible reconnection sites



## Theory: 3. The stress hypothesis

- Hypothesis: magnetic field configuration becomes stressed;
  - field becomes increasingly **non-potential** until some **critical point** is reached
- Project relate hard x-ray RHESSI observations to changes in magnetic field

#### Hard X-ray observations







SOHO (credit: NASA)

## Theory: 4. The MCT Topology

#### • Need a way of **modelling the magnetic field.** Require:

- 1. topological features quantitatively defined
- 2. computationally inexpensive
- 3. photospheric boundary quantitatively represents l.o.s.

magnetogram

Use a Magnetic Charge Topogy.

Quadrupolar example. Main topological features:

- P2 and P1 (+) +ve poles
- N1 and N2 (×) -ve poles
- triangles are **null points**
- green lines are **spine lines**
- black line is the separator



## Analysis: 1. The light curve

- lightcurve of total X-ray count rates over observation time interval
- depicts various energy bandwidths

**Problem:** attenuators alternating; total flux-counts changing

**Solution:** divide lightcurve over fixedattenuator subintervals





## Analysis: 2. X-ray contours

*Right* : RHESSI x-ray contour plots overlaid on line-of-sight magnetograms

#### **Observations**

- positive side tightly bundled
- negative side more diffused
- x-ray footpoints not moving
- development of third source (16:42 16:45)





## Analysis: 3a. Topologies

*Right* : Topology of active region at 03:12 UT.

- 15 topologies calculated
- 96 min. cadence
- in some cases, *quadrupole* field expansion used



## Analysis: 3b. A close up

*Right* : A close-up at 00:00 UT.



## Analysis: 3. Topologies

#### Problem: Broken Mask



- Large +ve polarity topologically mismodelled
- Magnetogram faulty

Solution : Apply Gaussian fit, remodel



Above left: Surface plot of problem region Above right: Remodelled with Gaussian



#### **Problem:** Tracking Separators

- topology changes significantly over the interval
- individual separators can't be tracked

#### Proposed Solution : track groups .

*Left:* The changing topology through time

## Analysis: 4a. Forming Connectivity Groups

- 1. Separators connect to nulls (1 to 2)
- 2. Nulls connect to poles (1 to 1)
- 3. Poles belong to masked regions
- 4. Masked regions can be grouped

Form separator connectivity groups

eg. { P1; N1, 2 }



## Analysis: 4b. The Mask Maker

#### **Mask Maker**

- program developed to form 'mask groups'
- automatically 'bleeds' contiguous polar regions
- user controls for fine-tuning



# diachronically stable separator *groups*



### Analysis: 5a. Calculating the Flux and Stress

#### Calculating the flux

• Flux through separator reducible to line integral (Stokes' theorem)



## Analysis: 5b. Signed Flux Issues

#### **<u>Problem</u>: Changing flux signs**

- Group flux a mix of +ve and -ve quantities
- Investigations showed small perturbations could change flux sign





Poles close to closure line mislead flux calc.
 - size and sign in doubt

## Analysis: 5c. Spreading the poles

#### **Proposed Solution : Spread the poles**

Right: Hi-res. poles in red and yellow

Subdivide primary mask



- Obtain new set of [hi-res] poles
- Use hi-res. poles for flux calculation



## Analysis: 5d. Calculating the stress

• Changing *photospheric* field  $\Box$  energy stored in coronal field

$$\phi_r = \phi_s - \phi_s^{(v)}, \qquad \frac{d}{dt}\phi_r = -\frac{d}{dt}\phi_s^{(v)}$$
$$\Rightarrow \Delta\phi_r = -\Delta t_b \frac{d}{dt}\phi_s^{(v)} \approx \Delta t_b E_b l_s$$
$$\Rightarrow E_b \approx -\frac{1}{l_s} \frac{\Delta\phi_s^{(v)}}{\Delta t} \qquad \text{'separator stress'}$$

• Stresses calculated for absolute flux, +ve contributions, -ve contributions

## Analysis: 6. Summary of the approach

- Locate likely reconnection sites with RHESSI x-ray contours
- Model active region with time-indexed, two-layer topology
  - primary topology
    poles, nulls, separators
    secondary topology
    hi-res. poles (from subdivision of primary mask)
- Form connectivity groups
- Calculate time-indexed group quantities using hi-res. poles
- Compare group **stresses** with RHESSI predictions

Do any patterns emerge...?

## Results and Conclusions: 1. Interpreting the Plots

*Right*: Stress plot for Connectivity Group {N2; P2}.

- RHESSI data indicates reconnection
- Topological analysis indicates stressing



## Results and Conclusions: 1. Interpreting the Plots

*Right* : Stress plot for Connectivity Group {N4; P3}.

- RHESSI data does **not** indicate reconnection
- Topological analysis suggests no stressing



## Results and Conclusions: 2. The picture so far...

#### • 'Stress score' (0-5, 0 = no evidence, 5 = strong evidence) assigned on basis of

- stress peak count
- size of max. peak.,

• stand. dev.,

• max. stress peak to flux ratio

<u>Connectivity</u>	Predicted?	<u>Score</u>	<u> </u>	<u>onnectivity</u>	Predicted?	<u>Score</u>
Connectivity [-5,-5,1,1] [-5,-5,1,3] [-2,-2,2,2] [-2,-2,1,2] [-2,-1,1,2] [-1,-1,1,2] [-5,-4,1,1] [-5,-4,1,3] [-2,-2,3,3]	Predicted? no no yes yes yes yes no no ves	Score 0 0 5 5 5 5 5 5 0 0 0		onnectivity 3,-3,1,3] 3,-3,3,3] 1,-1,2,2] 3,-2,3,3] 3,-2,1,3] 3,-2,1,2] 3,-3,1,2] 6,-6,1,1] 5,-4,3,3]	Predicted? yes yes yes yes yes yes no	<u>Score</u> 4 5 5 5 5 2 3 0 2
[-2,-2,1,3] [-4,-4,1,1] [-4 -4 1 3]	yes no no	5 3 5	[-{   [-4	5,-5,3,3] 4,-4,3,3] 1 -1 1 1]	no no ves	0 0 5
[-2,-2,3,3] [-2,-2,1,3]	yes ves	5 5	-{ [-{	5,-4,3,3] 5,-5,3,3]	no no	2 0
[-4,-1,1,1]	no	0	- [-	ı,-ı,ı,ı <u></u>	yes	5

## Results and Conclusions: 3. Final words

Strong correlation between RHESSI-based predictions and topological stress analysis!

Hard X-ray observations (*during* flare)



RHESSI

Magnetic field observations (*before* flare)



SOHO (credit: NASA)

• A significant step in predicting solar flares (?)