

Analysis and Modeling of Two Flare Loops Observed by SDO/AIA and Hinode/EIS

Ying Li & Jiong Qiu

Montana State University

June14 2012



Hinchorage HK

2012 aun



Contents

- Background
- Method
- Results
- Discussion



Anchorage AK

June 2012



Background

Hinchorage HK

Flare heating issue



June 2012

Method

the zero-dimensional Enthalpy Based Thermal Evolution of Loops model (EBTEL; Klimchuk et al. 2008)

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = -\frac{2c_2}{5k_BT} \left[\frac{F_c}{L} + c_1 n^2 \Lambda(T) \right]$$

$$c_1 = \frac{\mathcal{R}_u}{\mathcal{R}_d}$$

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = \frac{2}{3} \left[Q(t) - (1+c_1) n^2 \Lambda(T) \right]$$





an M1.0 flare on 2011 Feb. 16 in AR 11158

AIA imaging data EIS spectroscopic data

two distinctive loop systems treated as two big loops

four footpoints, each 5" by 5"











Synthetic VS Observed EUV flux in 94 & 335

Anchorage AK







The model results agree well with observations in many aspects. (timing & magnitude; using independent instruments)

The two different loops show **different coronal plasma evolution patterns**, with the short loop evolving more quickly than the long loop.

Our results suggest that the **observationally constrained heating rates** and the **EBTEL modeling** with only two free parameters provide **a reasonable description** of the heating and evolution of flare loops.



Discussion

The heating mechanisms and loop dynamics may be different in different loops. *(different heating rate and different energy loss ratio)*

Spectroscopic velocity measurements can constrain the EBTEL model. *(invokes enthalpy flow in the flare evolution)*

 high efficient 0D EBTEL model: model a good number of loops statistical investigation
 → sophisticated 1D hydrodynamic model: compute the plasma evolution



tochorage Hk

June 2012



Thanks for your attention! Thank the meeting organizers!



Anchorage AK

June 2012